arXiv:0903.5469v1 [math-ph] 31 Mar 2009

A NOTE ON FAITHFUL TRACES ON A VON NEUMANN ALGEBRA

F. BAGARELLO, C. TRAPANI, AND S. TRIOLO

Abstract. In this short note we give some techniques for constructing, starting from a *sufficient* family $\mathcal{F}$ of semifinite or finite traces on a von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{M}$, a new trace which is faithful.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

It is known that a semifinite von Neumann algebra always has a faithful semifinite trace. This trace can be used, for instance, to build up a non-commutative integration and, consequently, to define non commutative L^p-spaces. In this note we give some techniques for constructing, starting from a family $\mathfrak{F}$ of semifinite traces, a faithful one which is closely related to the family $\mathfrak{F}$.

Let $\mathfrak{F} = \{\eta_\alpha; \alpha \in \mathcal{I}\}$ be a family of normal, semifinite traces on $\mathfrak{M}$. We say that the family $\mathfrak{F}$ is *sufficient* if for $X \in \mathfrak{M}$, $X \geq 0$ and $\eta_\alpha(X) = 0$ for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$, then $X = 0$ (clearly, if $\mathfrak{F} = \{\eta\}$, then $\mathfrak{F}$ is sufficient if, and only if, η is faithful). In this case, $\mathfrak{M}$ is a semifinite von Neumann algebra [3, ch.5]. The analysis would really be simplified if, from a given family $\mathfrak{F}$ of normal semifinite traces, one could extract a sufficient subfamily $\mathcal{G}$ of traces with mutually orthogonal supports. Apart from quite simple situations (for instance when $\mathfrak{F}$ is finite), we do not know if this is possible or not. There are however at least two relevant cases where this can be done without many difficulties. The first case occurs when $\mathfrak{F}$ is countable and the second when $\mathfrak{F}$ is a convex and w^*-compact family of finite traces on $\mathfrak{M}$. These two situations will be discussed here.

In the sequel we will need the following Lemmas.

Lemma 1.1. *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra in Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, $\{P_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\mathcal{I}}$ a family of projections of $\mathfrak{M}$ with*

$$\bigvee_{\alpha\in\mathcal{I}} P_\alpha = \overline{P}.$$

If $A \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $AP_\alpha = 0$ for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$, then $A\overline{P} = 0$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $\mathcal{I}$ is directed upward and so $\{P_\alpha\}$ is a net. Were it not so, the family

$$\Gamma := \{P_{\alpha_1} \vee ..... \vee P_{\alpha_n}; \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n \in \mathcal{I}\}$$

would be a net. Clearly $\sup \Gamma = \overline{P}$. We would also have

$$A(P_{\alpha_1} \vee .... \vee P_{\alpha_n}) = 0$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L08; Secondary 46L51, 47L60 .

since $P_{\alpha_1} \vee .... \vee P_{\alpha_n}$ is the projection onto the subspace generated by $P_{\alpha_1}\mathcal{H}, ..., P_{\alpha_n}\mathcal{H}$ and A vanishes on each one of these subspaces. But, as is known, if $\{P_\alpha\}$ is a net, then $P_\alpha \to \overline{P}$ strongly. Hence, for every $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\| AP_\alpha\xi - A\overline{P}\xi \| \leq \| A \| \| P_\alpha\xi - \overline{P}\xi \| \to 0.$$

So, if $AP_\alpha = 0$, we conclude that $A\overline{P} = 0$. □

We remind that if φ is a trace on $\mathfrak{M}$, the support of φ is the complement of the largest projection of $\mathfrak{M}$ that annihilates φ.

Lemma 1.2. *Let $\mathfrak{F} = \{\eta_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\mathcal{I}}$ be a sufficient family of normal, semifinite traces on the von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{M}$ and let P_α be the support of η_α. Then, $\vee P_\alpha = \mathbb{I}$, where $\mathbb{I}$ denotes the identity of $\mathfrak{M}$.*

Proof. Indeed, if we put $S = \mathbb{I} - \bigvee_{\alpha\in\mathcal{I}}\{P_\alpha\}$, we get

$$S = \mathbb{I} - \bigvee_{\alpha\in\mathcal{I}} P_\alpha = \bigwedge_{\alpha\in\mathcal{I}} (\mathbb{I} - P_\alpha).$$

Therefore, since S is positive, if $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$,

$$0 \leq \eta_\alpha(S) = \eta_\alpha(\bigwedge_{\beta\in\mathcal{I}} (\mathbb{I} - P_\beta)) \leq \eta_\alpha(\mathbb{I} - P_\alpha) = 0,$$

by definition of support.
Thus, $\eta_\alpha(S) = 0$ for every $\alpha \in I$. This implies that $S = 0$ since $\mathfrak{F}$ is sufficient. □

2. FAITHFUL TRACES ON A VON NEUMANN ALGEBRA

Let $\mathfrak{F} = \{\eta_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\mathcal{I}}$ be a sufficient family of normal, semifinite traces on the von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{M}$. The traces η_α are not necessarily faithful. Let P_α denote the support of η_α. Then it is well-known that

(i) $P_\alpha \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{M})$, the center of $\mathfrak{M}$, for each $\alpha \in I$.
(ii) $\eta_\alpha(X) = \eta_\alpha(XP_\alpha)$, for each $\alpha \in I$ and for each $X \in \mathfrak{M}$.

Put $\mathfrak{M}_\alpha = \mathfrak{M}P_\alpha$. Each $\mathfrak{M}_\alpha$ is a von Neumann algebra and φ_α is faithful in $\mathfrak{M}P_\alpha$ [3, Proposition V. 2.10].

More precisely,

$$\mathfrak{M}_\alpha := \mathfrak{M}P_\alpha = \{Z = XP_\alpha, \text{ for some } X \in \mathfrak{M}\}.$$

The positive cone $\mathfrak{M}_\alpha^+$ of $\mathfrak{M}_\alpha$ surely contains the set

$$\{Z = XP_\alpha, \text{ for some } X \in \mathfrak{M}^+\}.$$

For $Z = XP_\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}_\alpha^+$, we put:

$$\sigma_\alpha(Z) := \eta_\alpha(XP_\alpha).$$

The definition of $\sigma_\alpha(Z)$ does not depend on the particular choice of X. Indeed, if $Z = YP_\alpha$, too, then

$$\eta_\alpha(XP_\alpha) = \eta_\alpha(ZP_\alpha) = \eta_\alpha(YP_\alpha)$$

since $ZP_\alpha = XP_\alpha = YP_\alpha$. σ_α is a normal, semifinite, faithful trace on $\mathfrak{M}_\alpha$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mathfrak{F} = \{\eta_n,\, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable sufficient family of normal, semifinite traces on a von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{M}$. If P_n denotes the support of η_n, then*

$$\sigma(X) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \eta_n[P_n \prod_{k<n} (\mathbb{I} - P_k)X] \qquad X \in \mathfrak{M}^+$$

is a faithful semifinite normal trace on $\mathfrak{M}$.

Proof. We define

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= P_1 \\ Q_n &= P_n \prod_{k<n} (\mathbb{I} - P_k). \end{aligned}$$

If $n \neq m$, then

$$Q_n Q_m = P_n \prod_{k<n} (\mathbb{I} - P_k) P_m \prod_{h<m} (\mathbb{I} - P_h) = 0.$$

Therefore the Q_n's are orthogonal. It is clear that they are idempotent.

We now prove that $S = \mathbb{I} - \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} Q_n = 0$, where the limit is taken in the strong operator topology.
If $S_m = \mathbb{I} - \sum_{n=1}^{m} Q_n$ then

$$S_m = \prod_{n=1}^{m} (\mathbb{I} - P_n).$$

In fact, by induction, we have:

$$S_1 = \mathbb{I} - Q_1 = \mathbb{I} - P_1$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{m+1} &= \mathbb{I} - \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} Q_n = \mathbb{I} - \sum_{n=1}^{m} Q_n - Q_{m+1} \\ &= \prod_{n=1}^{m} (\mathbb{I} - P_n) - Q_{m+1} = \prod_{n=1}^{m} (\mathbb{I} - P_n) - P_{m+1} \prod_{n=1}^{m} (\mathbb{I} - P_n) \\ &= (\mathbb{I} - P_{m+1}) \prod_{n=1}^{m} (\mathbb{I} - P_n) = \prod_{n=1}^{m+1} (\mathbb{I} - P_n) \end{aligned}$$

then

$$S_m P_l = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad l \leq m.$$

Letting $m \to +\infty$, we get $SP_l = 0$, for every $l \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 1.1, $S = 0$.
Now, we define

$$\sigma_n(X) = \eta_n(Q_n X) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then σ_n is a semifinite normal trace with support Q_n. Indeed, let R be a projection with $\sigma_n(R) = 0$. Then

$$\sigma_n(R) = \eta_n(Q_n R) = 0 \Rightarrow Q_n R \leq \mathbb{I} - P_n \Rightarrow Q_n R(\mathbb{I} - P_n) = Q_n R \Rightarrow Q_n R P_n = 0.$$

But, since the P_n's are in the center of $\mathfrak{M}$,

$$Q_nRP_n = RP_n \prod_{k<n}(\mathbb{I} - P_k)P_n = RQ_n = 0$$

then

$$R \leq \mathbb{I} - Q_n,$$

which implies that Q_n is the support of σ_n.

Thus the function σ on $\mathfrak{M}^+$ defined by

$$\sigma(X) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \sigma_n(X) \qquad X \in \mathfrak{M}^+$$

is a semifinite normal trace whose support is $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} Q_n = \mathbb{I}$ [3, ch.5 lemma 2.12]. Therefore, σ is faithful on $\mathfrak{M}$.

□

We now try to remove the assumption that $\mathfrak{F}$ is countable. As we shall see, some alternative hypothesis should be made.

The following Lemma has been proved in [2]. We give a sketch of the proof for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a convex w^*-compact family of normal, finite traces on a von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{M}$; assume that, for each central operator Z with $0 \leq Z \leq \mathbb{I}$, and each $\eta \in \mathfrak{F}$ the functional η_Z, defined by $\eta_Z(X) := \eta(XZ)$, $X \in \mathfrak{M}$, still belongs to $\mathfrak{F}$. Let $\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{F}$ be the set of extreme elements of $\mathfrak{F}$. If $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{F}$, $\eta_1 \neq n_2$, and P_1 and P_2 are their respective supports, then P_1 and P_2 are orthogonal.*

Proof. Let P_1, P_2 be, respectively, the supports of η_1 and η_2. We begin with proving that either $P_1 = P_2$ or $P_1P_2 = 0$. Indeed, assume that $P_1P_2 \neq 0$. We define

$$\eta_{1,2}(X) = \eta_1(XP_2) \qquad x \in \mathfrak{M}.$$

Were $\eta_{1,2} = 0$, then, $\eta_1(P_2) = 0$ and therefore $P_1P_2 = 0$, which contradicts the assumption. It is easy to see that the support of $\eta_{1,2}$ is P_1P_2.

Thus η_1 majorizes $\eta_{1,2}$. But η_1 is extreme in $\mathfrak{F}$. Then $\eta_{1,2} = \lambda\eta_1$ for some $\lambda \in [0,1]$. This implies that $\eta_{1,2}$ has the same support as η_1; therefore $P_1P_2 = P_1$ i.e. $P_1 \leq P_2$. Starting from $\eta_{2,1}(X) = \eta_2(XP_1)$, we get, in similar way, $P_2 \leq P_1$. Therefore, $P_1P_2 \neq 0$ implies $P_1 = P_2$.

However, two different traces of $\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{F}$ cannot have the same support. Indeed, assume that there exist $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{F}$ having the same support P. Since P is central, we can consider the von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{M}P$. The restrictions of η_1, η_2 to $\mathfrak{M}P$ are normal faithful finite traces. By [3, ch.5. 2.31] there exist a central element Z in $\mathfrak{M}P$ with $0 \leq Z \leq P$ (P is here considered as the unit of $\mathfrak{M}P$) such that

$$\eta_1(X) = (\eta_1 + \eta_2)(ZX) \quad \forall X \in (\mathfrak{M}P)_+. \tag{1}$$

The operator Z belongs to the center of $\mathfrak{M}$. Therefore the functionals

$$\eta_{1,Z}(X) := \eta_1(XZ) \qquad \eta_{2,Z}(X) := \eta_2(XZ) \qquad X \in \mathfrak{M}$$

belong to the family $\mathfrak{F}$ and are majorized, respectively, by the extreme elements η_1, η_2. Then, there exist $\lambda \in [0,1[$ and $\mu \in]0,1]$ such that

$$\eta_1(XZ) = \lambda\eta_1(X) \qquad \eta_2(XZ) = \mu\eta_1(X), \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{M}.$$

¿From the equalities, it follows, for instance, that either η_1 is a convex combination of η_2 and 0 or η_2 is a convex combination of η_1 and 0. This is absurd. □

Remark 2.3. It is worth noticing that the assumptions of the previous Lemma are satisfied when $\mathfrak{F}$ is the family of all traces η on $\mathfrak{M}$ such that $\|\eta\| = 1$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a convex w^*-compact family of positive linear functionals on a C^*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}_0$ and let $\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{F}$ the set of extreme elements of $\mathfrak{F}$. We have:*

$$\sup_{\eta\in\mathfrak{F}} \eta(a^*a) = \sup_{\eta\in\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{F}} \eta(a^*a) \qquad \forall a \in \mathfrak{A}_0.$$

Proof. It is clear that

$$\sup_{\eta\in\mathfrak{F}} \eta(a^*a) \geq \sup_{\eta\in\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{F}} \eta(a^*a) \qquad \forall a \in \mathfrak{A}_0.$$

But every $\eta \in \mathfrak{F}$ is in w^*-closure of the convex hull of $\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{F}$, thus

$$\forall a \in \mathfrak{A}_0 \quad \forall \epsilon > 0 \quad \exists \eta_1, \eta_2 ...... \eta_n \in \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{F} \qquad \lambda_1, \lambda_2 ...... \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup 0 \, : \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i = 1$$

such that,

$$\eta(a^*a) < \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \eta_i(a^*a) + \varepsilon \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \sup_{\eta\in\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{F}} \eta(a^*a) + \varepsilon = \sup_{\eta\in\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{F}} \eta(a^*a) + \epsilon.$$

Then

$$\sup_{\eta\in\mathfrak{F}} \eta(a^*a) \leq \sup_{\eta\in\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{F}} \eta(a^*a) \qquad \forall a \in \mathfrak{A}_0.$$

□

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a convex w^*-compact sufficient family of normal, finite traces on a von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{M}$; assume that, for each central operator Z, with $0 \leq Z \leq \mathbb{I}$, and each $\eta \in \mathfrak{F}$ the functional $\eta_Z(X) := \eta(XZ)$ belongs to $\mathfrak{F}$. Let $\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{F}$ be the set of extreme elements of $\mathfrak{F}$. Then the function σ on $\mathfrak{M}^+$ given by*

$$\sigma(X) = \sum_{\eta\in\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{F}} \eta(X) \qquad X \in \mathfrak{M}^+$$

is a faithful semifinite normal trace on $\mathfrak{M}$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.2 the function σ on $\mathfrak{M}^+$ given by

$$\sigma(X) = \sum_{\eta\in\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{F}} \eta(X) \qquad X \in \mathfrak{M}^+$$

is a semifinite normal trace. We prove that σ is faithful. By Lemma 2.4, $\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{F}$ is sufficient, then by Lemma 1.2, $\vee P_\eta = \mathbb{I}$, where $\mathbb{I}$ denotes the identity of $\mathfrak{M}$ and P_η the support of the $\eta_i \in \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{F}$. It is clear that $\mathbb{I}$ is the support of σ thus σ is a faithful semifinite normal trace on $\mathfrak{M}$.

□

Let $\mathfrak{F} = \{\eta_\alpha;\ \alpha \in \mathcal{I}\}$ be a sufficient family of normal, finite traces on $\mathfrak{M}$. In order to get a similar result in the case where $\mathfrak{F}$ is not necessarily convex or w^*-compact, it is enough to assume that the family $\mathfrak{F}$ is uniformly bounded, i.e. $\eta_\alpha(\mathbb{I}) \leq 1$, for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$.

We put

$$co\{\mathfrak{F}\} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \eta_{\alpha_i}\,; \qquad \lambda_i \geq 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i = 1, \qquad \eta_{\alpha_i} \in \mathfrak{F} \right\}$$

and let $\overline{co\{\mathfrak{F}\}}$ be its w^*-closure.

Corollary 2.6. *Let $\mathfrak{F} = \{\eta_\alpha;\ \alpha \in \mathcal{I}\}$ be a sufficient family of normal, finite traces on $\mathfrak{M}$ such that $\eta_\alpha(\mathbb{I}) \leq 1$, for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$. Let $\mathfrak{E}\overline{co\{\mathfrak{F}\}}$ be the set of all extreme elements of $\overline{co\{\mathfrak{F}\}}$. Then the function σ on $\mathfrak{M}^+$ given by*

$$\sigma(X) = \sum_{\eta \in \mathfrak{E}\overline{co\{\mathfrak{F}\}}} \eta(X) \qquad X \in \mathfrak{M}^+$$

is a faithful semifinite normal trace on $\mathfrak{M}$.

Proof. By the assumption, $\mathfrak{F}$ is a subset of the unit ball of the dual of $\mathfrak{M}$. Then $\overline{co\{\mathfrak{F}\}}$ is a convex w^*-compact subset of the dual of $\mathfrak{M}$. It is easily seen that the elements of $\overline{co\{\mathfrak{F}\}}$ are traces. Indeed every $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \eta_{\alpha_i}$ is a trace since

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \eta_{\alpha_i}(x^* x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \eta_{\alpha_i}(x x^*)$$

and if $\{\eta_\gamma\}$ is a net of traces and $\eta = w^* - \lim_\gamma \eta_\gamma$, we have:

$$\eta(x^* x) = \lim_\gamma \eta_\gamma(x^* x) = \lim_\gamma \eta_\gamma(x x^*) = \eta(x x^*) \quad x \in \mathfrak{M}.$$

By Theorem 2.5 the function σ on $\mathfrak{M}^+$ given by

$$\sigma(X) = \sum_{\eta \in \mathfrak{E}\overline{co\{\mathfrak{F}\}}} \eta(X) \qquad X \in \mathfrak{M}^+$$

is a faithful semifinite normal trace on $\mathfrak{M}$. □

References

1. J.-P. Antoine, A.Inoue, C.Trapani, *Partial *-algebras and their Operator Realizations*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2002
2. F.Bagarello, C.Trapani e S.Triolo, "CQ*-algebras and non-commutative integration", preprint Dip. Matematica e Applicazioni 254, Agosto 2004, inviato al J. Operator Theory
3. M. Takesaki, *Theory of Operator Algebras.I*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.
4. C.Trapani and S.Triolo, *Representations of certain Banach C*-modules*, Mediterranean J. Math. 1 (2004), 441-461

Dipartimento di Metodi e Modelli Matematici, Università di Palermo, Facoltà d'Ingegneria, I-90128 Palermo (Italy)
E-mail address: `bagarell@unipa.it`

Dipartimento di Matematica ed Applicazioni, Università di Palermo, I-90123 Palermo (Italy)
E-mail address: `trapani@unipa.it`

Dipartimento di Matematica ed Applicazioni, Università di Palermo, I-90123 Palermo (Italy)
E-mail address: `salvo@math.unipa.it`